Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP81B00878R001200110193-9\ \ 6 @ 8

December 5, 1956

Dear Doug:

This is in reply to your letter of 27 November 1956 re "Travel and Other Direct Charges" billed on our Invoice 10-5, dated 12 October 1956. You are right in your opinion of the \$6,050.00 item which represents funds advanced to each technician to defray his monthly cost of living until he is reimbursed. Because of the security restrictions under which we are operating, and the unusual requirement for advancing the funds, it was impossible to handle them, accountingvise, as we would normally handle such items in the Company's records. There is no intention that these funds will become a contract cost. When the funds are disbursed to the employee they are charged to the contract, primarily for accounting purposes, also because they represent an unusual though temporary expenditure under the contract; when the employee returns his fund will be picked up, deposited in the bank and the contract credited. In the case of this has already occurred.

25X1A

25X1A

The item of \$2,653.47 represents expenditures at the original Detachment "A" location on the per day per man basis which was agreed to by the Contracting Officer.

In connection with the funds advanced to the employees, if you feel that our method of handling this is not within the spirit of the terms, conditions and operating circumstances of the contract, I will be happy to make whatever changes you suggest.

If any further questions occur to you, please let me know.

Yours very truly,

Mis was although issued

DOGENERAL NO. ., 901.488800 

TRANSMITTAL SLIP DATE	
Toy Contracting officer	
ROOM NO. BUILDING	
1. Director of Admin.	
Thought to AC was to Rick up tak for subsidizing	
its employees at	STATINT
What are your View. O	
which was fragy at the Time.	
ROOM NO. BUILDING EXTENSION	
PEB 55 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED. 3 (47)	